

DA15/0662 - Summary of Submissions

No	Name	Residential Address	Nature of submission	Summary of Submission	Officer's Comment
AGENCY					
	Main Roads	N/A	No Objection	No comments provided	Noted
COMMUNITY					
1	R J Paterson	9 Koorabin Drive YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	<p>The original developer of the estate went to great lengths to preserve the natural beauty of the area. Underground power was install to preserve the view down the valley. There is currently other communication infrastructure within the area. The tower is located within a special character area which aims to “protect the amenity of residential living environments by controlling the use of reflective building materials” and “to preserve the visual amenity and rural / scenic character of areas of landscape value”. Home owners will be required to install large aerials on their roofs to receive the transmission which will have further negative impact upon amenity. The tower will obstruct their view down the valley to the sea. Current internet service to the area is sufficient. Confusion amongst residents regarding the location of the tower as it has been referred to as "Yallingup Siding" however it is in "Yallingup." Alternative sites should be considered. There are alternative technologies available. The tower will not improve mobile phone reception within the area despite claims from others that it will.</p>	<p>Refer to Council report for assessment against relevant planning controls and visual impact. The applicant considered alternative locations within the area prior to lodging the development application for this site. Details of these alternative locations are provided within the applicant's submission however none of the other locations were considered to be viable or tenure was not able to be obtained. The City is required to assess the application based on the site proposed by the applicant. The tower is only proposed to provide national broadband service (internet) to the area. The tower does however provide opportunity for other service providers to co-locate their facilities.</p>
2	Rod Taylor	112 Gunyulgup Valley Drive YALLINGUP 6282	Objection	<p>The photomongages provided by the applicant are inaccurate. Concerns regards raditation from the tower. Development is incosistent with the planning reuquirements for the area. Tower will have a negative detrimental visual impact upon the area. The development will have an adverse impact upon tourism to the area.</p>	<p>The photomontages provided by the applicant have been prepared on behalf of the applicant by a company based in the eastern states who prepare photomontages for a number of telecommunication companies. There are strict guidelines within the eastern states which these companies are required to comply with.</p>

DA15/0662 - Summary of Submissions

No	Name	Residential Address	Nature of submission	Summary of Submission	Officer's Comment
3	Tim Tudor-Owen	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission: Development not consistent with planning controls, concerns regarding visual impact of tower and impact this will have upon local businesses and tourism industry, impact of tower on the visual amenity of residential homes within the vicinity, concerns regarding the perceived negative health effects from Electromagnetic Emissions (EME)	Refer to Council report for assessment against relevant planning controls and visual impact. Electromagnetic emissions (EME) is not a valid planning consideration and is not controlled or regulated by the Local Government. The development will be required to comply with the requirements of the Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency.
4	Nicola Dawn Smith	32 Seascape Rise YALLINGUP 6282	Support	Tower is to be located in a functional location with no removal of wildlife habitat. Some of the images being circulated showing the tower within the setting are in fact manipulated and do not accurately reflect the tower within the landscape.	Noted.
5	Lee Taylor	112 Gunyulgup Valley Drive YALLINGUP 6282	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above. Additional concerns expressed regarding EME, Visual impact of development not in keeping with overall vision of the area.	See response to submission 3 above. Concerns regarding EME and visual impact addressed above.
6	Aaron Day	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above.
7	Dale Weston	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above.
8	David Moir	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above.
9	Denis Skillen	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Concerns regarding visual impact of tower and impact upon views within the area.	Concerns regarding visual impact addressed above.
10	Greg Weston - Arnold	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above.
11	John Mokrzycki	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above.
12	Stuart Watts	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above.

DA15/0662 - Summary of Submissions

No	Name	Residential Address	Nature of submission	Summary of Submission	Officer's Comment
13	Wayne Loxley	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above.
14	Robert Michael Walter	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above.
15	Paul Sonntag	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above. Alternative location likely to be more suitable	See response to submission 3 above.
16	Patricia Barfield	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above.
17	Michael Slade	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Location has been chosen for cost efficiency and commercial viability above all other environmental and community consideration. Proposal will have a negative visual impact of tower and impact upon views within the area. Concerns regarding EME and believe the tower should not be located within close proximity to families. Other location should be considered	Concerns regarding alternative location and EME have been addressed above.
18	Kim Byfield	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Negative impact upon the visual amenity of the area and will negatively affect the businesses within the area. Alternative locations would be more suitable.	Concerns regarding alternative location and EME have been addressed above.
19	Janet Elizabeth Walter	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above
20	Jane and Michael Gladwin-Grove	28 Jones Road QUEDJINUP WA 6281	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above
21	Richard Perrett	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above
22	Helen Moore	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above

DA15/0662 - Summary of Submissions

No	Name	Residential Address	Nature of submission	Summary of Submission	Officer's Comment
23	Chris Wallace-Harrison	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Proposal will have negative visual impact upon amenity and views within the area. Proposal will negatively impact upon tourism within the area. Alternative location recommended.	Concerns regarding alternative location and EME have been addressed above.
24	Bill & Di Mitchell	PO Box 304 YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	Proposal is in conflict to the development and environmental guidelines applicable to tourist and residential development within the area. The proposal will detract from the natural landscape and environmental values of the area. Structure will detract from tranquillity and natural beauty of the area and will result in financial losses for business within the area. Alternatively location recommended	Concerns regarding compliance with planning requirements, alternative location and EME have been addressed above.
25	Blair Taylor	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Proposal will result in unknown radiation risks to occupiers of adjoining dwelling. Visual impact will be disgusting.	Concerns regarding visual impact and EME have been addressed above.
26	Michelle Taylor	Not provided	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above
27	Tom Van Leeuwin	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above
28	Luke Fort	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above. Residents have endeavoured to find alternative solutions for infrastructure that do not negatively impact upon the environment.	See response to submission 3 above
29	Greg Burke	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Recommends an alternative location be considered which would be less obtrusive. The tower will be in full view of diners at Little Fish restaurant.	See response to submission 15 above. Following the conclusion of the advertising period the applicant relocated the development 100m to the south west of the original proposed location. It is considered that the new location will assist in minimising the visual impact of the tower from Little Fish.
30	Kerrie and Peter McMillan	Not provided	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above
31	Nelly Newall	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above

DA15/0662 - Summary of Submissions

No	Name	Residential Address	Nature of submission	Summary of Submission	Officer's Comment
32	Carol Robson	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Concerns regarding visual impact of tower and impact upon views within the area. Development is not consistent with the planning requirements for the area (i.e. within Rural Residential zone and Landscape Value Area). The tower exceeds the building height limits under the scheme. Non-compliance with the EPA noise requirements as a result of the required air conditioning cooling facilities which are proposed. Negative impact upon visual amenity of the area as well as the tourism industry and local business within the area. Concerns regarding EME and impacts on health. Negative impacts on property values.	Concerns regarding compliance with relevant planning controls, visual impact and EME have been addressed above. In relation to noise from the air conditioning units, the development will be 186 metres from the nearest residential property and will be required to comply with the <i>Environmental Protection (noise) Regulations 1997</i> . It is not considered that this will not be an issue or cause any detrimental impact upon the adjoining properties.
33	Anthony Barton	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 32 above	See response to submission 32 above.
34	Eloyse Braskic	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 32 above	See response to submission 32 above.
35	Deborah Ireson & Stephen Wright	Lot 122 Gunyulgup Valley Drive YALLINGUP	Objection	Template submission - see submission 32 above	See response to submission 32 above.
36	Kevin Merifield	70 Millbrook Road YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	Concerns regarding this visual amenity of the tower on the Gunyulgup Valley area, proximity of the tower to residential properties, impact upon the visual amenity of popular tower precinct including restaurant. More suitable site should be considered.	Concerns regarding alternative location and visual impact addressed above.
37	Christopher Gale	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 32 above. Submission included a copy of a multiple person petition	See response to submission 32 above.
38	Julia Schortinghuis	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 32 above	See response to submission 32 above.
39	Gary Wyatt and Anne Stewart	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 32 above.

DA15/0662 - Summary of Submissions

No	Name	Residential Address	Nature of submission	Summary of Submission	Officer's Comment
40	Mary Bibby	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Alternative locations have only been dismissed due to lack of consent from land owners. Alternative locations (including the ridge of the Leeuwin - Naturaliste Nation Park which overlooks the Gunyulgup Valley) should be considered as it is further away from rural residential subdivision and would have less visual impact. Proposal is not consistent with section 4.2.8 of the Scheme and will result in a massive detrimental impact on the rural character and amenity of the area. Proposal is not consistent with State Planning Policy 5.2 Telecommunication Infrastructure	Concerns regarding alternative location and visual impact addressed above.
41	John Allen	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	No comments provided	Noted.
42	Robin and Ian Miller	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Development will conflict with the development and environmental guidelines within the area. Development will have an adverse impact upon the amenity of the area. Tower will result in a negative impact upon tourism and business within the region	Concerns regarding compliance with planning requirements and visual impact addressed above.
43	Michael Brown	Unable to be confirmed	Objection	Inconsistent with planning laws. Proposal will have a detrimental impact upon amenity of the area and will negatively impact business within the area. Proposal should be located away from tourist, business and residential uses. Potential to increase technology attached to the tower resulting in EME risks. Should be located in the middle of the near by National Park	Concerns regarding compliance with planning requirement, visual impact and EME addressed above. The tower is required to be located within this area as it is one of the last areas to be serviced by the NBN.
44	Gary Pearce	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above

DA15/0662 - Summary of Submissions

No	Name	Residential Address	Nature of submission	Summary of Submission	Officer's Comment
45	Michael Wishart	Not provided	Objection	Proposal is in conflict to the development and environmental guidelines within the area applicable to tourist and residential development within the area. Proposal is extremely close to residential properties as well as commercial gallery within the area and will detract from the beauty of the natural environment and will result in negative financial impacts for business within the area and will decrease property values.	Concerns regarding visual impact addressed above. Property values is not a valid planning consideration.
46	Brooke & Brendon Bleakley	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above
47	Rob Webley	Not provided	Support	An upgrade to internet service within the area is greatly needed. Where ever the tower is located it will attract opposition. It is therefore considered best to locate the tower where it will service the needs or majority of the residents	Noted.
48	Mike Bibby	39 Bruce Road NATURALISTE WA 6281	Objection	As per submission 40	See response to submission 32 above.
49	John & Zelinda Adams	Not provided	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above
50	Peter and Diane Rowe	18 Hammond Road YALLINGUP WA	Objection	Structure will detract from the rural outlook of the area.	Concerns regarding visual impact addressed above.
51	Ian and Jennifer Kent	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above
52	Bronwyn York	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above
53	Rex Growden	Not provided	Objection	Alternative location should be considered	Concerns regarding alternative location addressed above.
54	Justin Bennett	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Long time visitor to the area and believes that the tower will ruin the natural beauty of the area. Concerns regarding radiation caused by tower. Tower is too close to residents, visitors, children and fauna. Alternative location should be considered.	Concerns regarding alternative location and EME addressed above.
55	Greg and Athena Bennett	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 32 above. Submission included a copy of a multiple person petition	See response to submission 32 above.

DA15/0662 - Summary of Submissions

No	Name	Residential Address	Nature of submission	Summary of Submission	Officer's Comment
56	Lynda and Peter Merritt	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 32 above.	See response to submission 32 above.
57	Geoff Potter	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template Submission - see submission 32 above	See response to submission 32 above.
58	Philip and Judith Priest	26/67 Smiths Beach Road YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above
59	Janine Lauder	176 Gunyulgup Valley Drive YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	Author of template submission 32. Submission included petition.	See response to submission 32 above.
60	Stephen Lauder	176 Gunyulgup Valley Drive YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	See submission 59	See response to submission 32 above.
61	Kingsley James Kalaf	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above
62	Andrew Bon	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above
63	Sharyn Houghton	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Modified version of template submission - see submission 3 above.	See response to submission 3 above
64	Conal Evans	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Negative impact upon the visual amenity of the area	Concerns regarding visual impact addressed above.
65	Danita Walter	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Negative impact upon the visual amenity of the area. Negative impact upon business within the area.	Concerns regarding visual impact addressed above.
66	Vivienne and Jim Duggin	60 Nukklgup Loop, Yallingup	Support	Building a house locally and support the improved internet access to the area	Noted.
67	Peter Agostino	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template Submission - see submission 32 above	See response to submission 32 above.
68	David van der Walt atf Aries Family Trust	758 Commonage Road YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	Template Submission - see submission 32 above	See response to submission 32 above.
69	Peter Mapstone	58 Siesta Park Road SIESTA PARK WA 6280	Objection	Negative impact upon the visual amenity of the area. Negative impact upon tourism industry	Concerns regarding visual impact addressed above.
70	Ian Murray White	69 Gunyulgup Valley Drive Yallingup 6282	Objection	Template Submission - see submission 32 above	See response to submission 32 above.

DA15/0662 - Summary of Submissions

No	Name	Residential Address	Nature of submission	Summary of Submission	Officer's Comment
71	Kim White	69 Gunyulgup Valley Drive Yallingup 6282	Objection	Template Submission - see submission 32 above	See response to submission 32 above.
72	Bart Northam	67 Karli Rise YALLINGUP WA	Support	Location is considered to be an excellent choice. Need to improved connectively in the area is extreme.	Noted.
73	Jane Page and Mike Gilmore	5 Koorabin Drive YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	Improved mobile phone reception is required in the area. Location of tower seems to fit the locational requirements	Noted.
74	Peter McGann	11 Bushland Close DUNSBOROUGH WA 6281	Objection	Tower will detract from the ambience of the area and will reduce tourist activity to the area. Towers should not be located in such close proximity to tourist areas.	Concerns regarding visual impact and location addressed above.
75	R. Hawkins	Unable to be confirmed	Support	Lives in Dunsborough with very poor internet service. Is in support of the proposal which provides better internet service to the region	Noted.
76	Rob MacDonald	Unable to be confirmed	Objection	Template Submission - see submission 32 above. Property owner within the area and believes that the proposal would be inconsistent with environmental compliance standards	See response to submission 32 above.
77	Brendon Nazar	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template Submission - see submission 32 above.	See response to submission 32 above.
78	Shane and Susan Pallier	93 Gunyulgup Valley Drive YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	Template Submission - see submission 32 above.	See response to submission 32 above.
79	Lisa Dwyer	94 Injidup Spring Road YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	In support due to the safety reasons for having internet and mobile phone coverage improved within the area.	Noted.
80	Bay and Gilly Collison	86 Canal Rocks Road YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	No comments provided	Noted.
81	Charlotte Louis Stopford Sackville	16 Dress Circle YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	No comments provided	Noted.
82	Michael Young	43 Nukklgup Loop YALLINGUP WA 2828	Support	Poor internet and phone reception at their property within Yallingup. Access to internet is an essential service. The tower itself will have minimum impact on views of the valley.	Noted.
83	Joanna Boston	53 Coulls Road YALLINGUP SIDING WA 6282	Support	Currently we do not have internet coverage at their property and support the proposal as it will significantly increase the chance of the property getting reception	Noted.

DA15/0662 - Summary of Submissions

No	Name	Residential Address	Nature of submission	Summary of Submission	Officer's Comment
84	Nick & Julie Trendos	117 Millbrook Road YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	Support the proposal as they currently do not have mobile phone signal at their property. Improved internet service will be very welcome.	Noted.
85	Martie Kuhn	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Does not live in the area but is a visitor to the area. Template Submission - see submission 32 above	See response to submission 32 above.
86	Emma Morris	17 Kangaroo Parade YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	Template Submission - see submission 32 above	See response to submission 32 above.
87	Joshua Brejnak	17 Kangaroo Parade YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	Disapprove of the proposal	Noted.
88	Greg King	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template Submission - see submission 32 above	See response to submission 32 above.
89	Gerald and Penelope Hutton	72 Nukklgup Loop YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	Tower is urgently needed in the area. While there will be a visual impact from the tower it is a solution of a communication problem, and will provide benefit to the near by residents. Important service for bushfire safety	Noted.
90	Des and Shelley De Klerk - Little Fish	106 Koorabin Drive YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	Their business attracts visitors from all over the world who love the natural beauty and ambience of the area. Alternative spot should be considered. Template submission - See submission 32 above.	Concerns regarding alternative location and visual impact addressed above.
91	Graeme Missen	60 Brushwood Brook Drive YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	Role as a volunteer firefighter, SES and ambulances officer relies on improved telecommunication services to the area. Improved broadband will give them much quicker access to emergency information. Tourism is likely to benefit from the improved broadband services.	Noted.
92	Trevor and Jennifer Keeley	206 Marrinup Drive YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	Telecommunication towers are a part of everyday living. The area is prone to bushfires. Other towers near other tourist accommodation has not detracted visitors from these areas.	Noted

DA15/0662 - Summary of Submissions

No	Name	Residential Address	Nature of submission	Summary of Submission	Officer's Comment
93	Christine Pidgeon	154 Hayes Road QUINDALUP WA 6281	Support	Local resident who has struggled with internet and phone connectivity. Tower site is visually acceptable surrounded by trees, fire safety is currently compromised as a result of poor telecommunications within the area. Unable to run business from home due to lack of internet services. House is currently rented out as holiday accommodation and guests are unable to book restaurants and accommodation and the owner is unable to contact them due to lack of internet.	Noted.
94	Roger and Jill Cornes	8 Seascape Rise YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	Bushfire ready street coordinator for Seascape Rise and internet is a critical in the distribution of safety information. Current internet service is really slow and is not reliable.	Noted.
95	David Gabrielli	152 Hayes Road QUINDALUP WA 6281	Support	Other towers have been approved within the area without consultation why is this one different. Visual impact of tower is acceptable and will be screen by trees. Internet service is slow and unacceptable. Fire safety information is compromised. Tourist expect modern communication. Local and small businesses required the internet. over time the major providers will co-locate their facilities improving safety in the area. Telecommunication tower will provide benefit to the community	Noted.
96	Peter and Anne Isbister	26 Corymbia Close YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	Visually unaffected by the tower as they live over 1km away however the benefits from the tower are overdue. Mobile phone usage within the area is terrible and dangerous in a fire.	Noted.
97	Stephen and Yvonne Butterly	1442 Wildwood Road YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	Lack of communication and services impacts on emergency calls for fire, elderly, sickness and accidents. Need the service for the business which has been affected by non communication. Will be able to see tower from their property	Noted.
98	Ian Bassett Scarfe	30 Nukklgup loop YALLINGUP WA 6282	Indifferent	Due to the controversy surrounding the location of the tower the City should appoint an independent broadcast engineer to assess the application in particular in relation to a more suitable site.	Noted.

DA15/0662 - Summary of Submissions

No	Name	Residential Address	Nature of submission	Summary of Submission	Officer's Comment
99	John and Margaret Hancock	78 Nukklgup Loop YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	Fast internet service is important to conduct business. Currently have no mobile phone reception at their property which is of concerns during the bushfire risk months.	Noted.
100	Sue Elscot	PO Box 601 Dunsborough WA 6281	Support	Current internet is appalling. This affect ability to run a business from home and for children to complete their online school work. Reliable internet is a matter of safety in bushfire conditions. During previous fires within the area unable to log on to DFES website.	Noted.
101	Andrew Jones	2659 Caves Road YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	There are many other locations that the tower can be located on public/government land.	Concerns regarding alternative location addressed above.
102	Rebecca & Matt Muir	75 Gunyulgup Valley Drive YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	Template Submission - see submission 32 above	See response to submission 32 above.
103	Dawn Taylor	Not provided	Support	There is a need for good access to communication for all residents. Currently many households are affected by bad coverage	Noted.
104	David Hunt	40 Canal Rocks Rd Yallingup WA 6282	Support	Currently experiences poor internet services within the area. Concerns regarding access to information during an emergency situations. The top of the tower will have little visual impact upon the amenity of the area.	Noted.
105	James Cross	37 Gibson Drive DUNSBOROUGH WA 6281	Support	Internet access at property in Cape Rise is worst than in a third world country. The location chosen by the NBN is most likely the best place to get the best coverage.	Noted.
106	Graeme Davies	80 O'Byrne Road QUINDALUP WA 6281	Support	Communication in the area is vital with most communication done online including fire safety advice to residents. Tourist visiting the area expect online facilities to make bookings. People within the area require internet to run businesses from home and for children's education. Those opposing the tower don't live within the area and enjoy internet where they live. Other telecommunication towers are likely to co-locate facilities and improve telecommunications within the area.	Noted.

DA15/0662 - Summary of Submissions

No	Name	Residential Address	Nature of submission	Summary of Submission	Officer's Comment
107	David & Beth Jasper	79 Seascape Rise YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	Currently have poor telecommunication services at the property. Disappointed specific information regarding the coverage has not been provided. Support the co-location of mobile telephone services at the tower.	Noted.
108	Terry Sweet	13 Annie Lysle Place YALLINGUP WA	Support	Currently experiences very poor internet and mobile phone reception. In this area there is a fire risk and good communication is vital. We rely on internet for many daily services and without reasonable service we are at a significant disadvantage.	Noted.
109	Kailas Edwards	25 Kangaroo Parade YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	Live within the area and have considered moving the office to town because internet service is very unstable and at one point was inoperable.	Noted.
110	Duncan Gardner	144 Glover Road YALLINGUP WA	Objection	Proposed tower will not provide a satisfactory solution to the internet. No information regarding the coverage area of the tower has been provided. No information regarding how the tower will work with other towers in the area. An integrated approach should be considered. Poor internet service will mean I am unable to carry out business from home.	The proposed tower is part of the roll out of the Nation Broadband Network. The proposed tower will service 350 properties and it is one of the last location within the area which is yet to be serviced.
111	Alastair Bennett	94 Hayes Road QUINDALUP WA 6281	Support	Currently live within a black spot with no internet or mobile phone coverage. Business within the area have lost productive because for poor internet. Tower will provide opportunity for improve mobile phone reception in the area. Children are unable to do online home work.	Noted.
112	Darren Haunold - Wills Domain	57 Brash Road YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	Template Submission - see submission 95 above	Noted.
113	Marshall Bennett	126 O'Byrne Road QUINDALUP WA 6281	Support	There is an increasing anxiety by people who live in 'blank' areas, and there are daily frustrations about inadequate connections for bush-fire alerts and children who do not have access to their homework which is increasingly being delivered by internet.	Noted.

DA15/0662 - Summary of Submissions

No	Name	Residential Address	Nature of submission	Summary of Submission	Officer's Comment
114	Peter Campbell	71 Brushwood Brook Drive YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	The tower will be in the public interest in providing internet service to the greater community. This is necessary for essential services and emergency situations. Development appears to comply with all the relevant requirements. Tower will be predominately screened by existing vegetation.	Noted.
115	Judy & Warren McRae	38 Annie Lysle Place YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	Reside in Perth but use the house as often as we can. Operate a contract business which relies on phone and internet connection. Have concerns regarding poor service at their home. Downloading emails and making phone calls is impossible most of the time. Unable to check DFES updates.	Noted.
116	Ernie and Pat Evans	19 Saunders Street MOSMAN PARK WA 6012	Support	In favour of improve communication in the area. More important to improve mobile phone reception within the area and urger mobile phone providers to take this opportunity to co-locate facilities.	Noted.
117	Janie Saggars	21 Nukklgup Loop YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	It is imperative that all homes in our area have good internet and phone coverage as a safety issue in the event of a natural disaster like bush fire.	Noted.
118	Robert & Pia Pickard	182 Brushwood Brook Drive YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	Concerns regarding poor internet at our property and no internet reception. Slow internet causes issue when conducting day to day business.	Noted.
119	Caroline Herrick	144 Hayes Road QUINDALUP WA 6281	Support	Reside in the area and experience very poor internet survive within the area. Children are unable to do homework. No land line phone and therefore improved service is required for bushfire and DFES updates.	Noted.
120	Grant Girdler	134 Hayes Road QUINDALUP WA 6281	Support	Other towers have not gone through such an extensive consultation period. Minimal visual impact of the tower and tower will blend in to the scenery. Improved service is necessary to improve safety. There will be numerous benefits from the tower - business, tourism, education, lifestyle, entertainment, health.	Noted.
121	Neil Mellis	63 Howson Rise YALLINGUP WA 6282	Indifferent	Discrepancy in information provided regarding speeds of internet service to be provided.	Noted.
122	Lester and Linda McGown	75 Seascape Rise YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	Property is located within a bushfire prone area and easily accessible reliable communication systems is important.	Noted.

DA15/0662 - Summary of Submissions

No	Name	Residential Address	Nature of submission	Summary of Submission	Officer's Comment
123	Philippa Lynch	134 Hayes Road QUINDALUP WA 6281	Support	Current internet is very unsatisfactory. Improved internet is vital for fire safety and university studies.	Noted.
124	Paula Elliot	20 Kangaroo Parade YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	Template Submission - See submission 32 above. Do not want a tower within 100m of their home. Not opposed to improved telecommunication services within the area. The tower should be located more central to the property to minimise impact on neighbours. People live within the area for various reasons, fast internet service is not one of them. Current internet service is deemed adequate.	Refer to response to submission 32 above. Since the applicant was advertised the applicant has modified the location of the development to make it more central to the site increasing the setback of the tower to their property to over 300 metres.
125	John Barlow	2/51 Rule Street NORTH FREMANTLE WA 6159	Support	Improve wireless facilities will improve safety within the area in emergency situations. Improved communication will also benefit the local community on a day to day basis.	Noted.
126	Peter and Linda Jenkins	20 Panoramic Close QUINDALUP WA 6281	Support	Current internet service is very slow and improved service is imperative. Fire safety should not be compromised.	Noted.
127	Janet House	119 Gunyulgup Valley Drive YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	Template submission - see submission 3 above. Additional concerns regarding health impacts upon children within the area.	Refer to response to submission 3 above.
128	Alan Jones	50 Sea Hill Crescent QUINDALUP WA 6281	Support	Live within the area an internet service is very slow. Local fire brigade has expressed concerns that residents are unable to connect to DFES website in an emergency. Many residents within the area experience frustration at low internet speeds.	Noted.
129	Warwick House	20 Kangaroo Parade YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	Template submission - see submission 32 above.	Refer to response to submission 32 above.
130	Lauretta Reading	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 32 above.	Refer to response to submission 32 above.
131	Damian Reading	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Objection	Template submission - see submission 32 above.	Refer to response to submission 32 above.

DA15/0662 - Summary of Submissions

No	Name	Residential Address	Nature of submission	Summary of Submission	Officer's Comment
132	Tom Engelke	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Support	The NBN Tower will provide much improved internet access to local residents and businesses in the Yallingup Area. The improved internet access provided by the proposed NBN Tower will improve safety and provide better warnings to residents in case of emergencies (bushfires etc.). The NBN Tower has a low visual impact and does not harm the environment	Noted.
133	Libby Hunt	40 Canal Rocks Road YALLINGUP WA 6282	Support	Ideal location. Current internet service is abysmal. The tower will be visible but within a few weeks it wont be noticeable.	Noted.
134	Warwick House	20 Kangaroo Parade YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	Not against the tower only the location. An alternative location should be considered. Coverage within the area is an issue. There are many other suitable locations such as crown land and national parks.	Concerns regarding alternative location addressed above.
135	Merrilynn Walker	Not on City's records as being a property owner with the City of Busselton	Support	No comments provided	Noted.
136	Frank Gaschk	Lot 127 Windmills Close YALLINGUP WA 6282	Objection	Tower will be unsightly. The tower will be prominent and visible.	See response to submission 3 above.
137	Glenn Provost	162 Marrinup Drive YALLINGUP	Objection	Concerns regarding health implications, including increased cancer risk, as a result of the proposed tower. Alternative location should be considered outside of a residential area. Additional equipment could be attached to the tower in the future which will result in additional EME.	Concerns regarding EME addressed above. Any additional equipment which will be attached to the tower will be required to comply with the requirements of the Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency.
138	Anthony Sharp	170 Lagoon Drive YALLINGUP	Objection	Alternative location should be found which minimised the visual impact while maximising the efficiency of the tower.	Concerns regarding alternative location and visual impact addressed above.
139	Raminta Rusilas	38 Canal Rocks Road YALLINGUP	Objection	Proposal will detract from the natural landscape and environmental values. Alternative location should be considered. Not in keeping with requirements of the area	Concerns regarding alternative location and compliance with planning requirements addressed above.
LATE SUBMISSIONS					
140	Phil Garcia	15 Oakmont Crescent DUNSBOROUGH	Objection	Understand that improved internet service to the area is required however what makes the area special is the magnificent views. A more non-obtrusive location should be found.	Concerns regarding alternative location and visual impact addressed above.
141	Debbie and Richard Camm	22 Nukklgup Loop YALLINGUP	Objection	Proposed tower is entirely inappropriate for the area, and unnecessary.	Concerns regarding alternative location and visual impact addressed above.