

## Summary of submissions from Berry Street residents (Attachment C)

Concerns	Response to concern
Wants alternative 1, unhappy with consultation no reasoning provided	The purpose of the consultation was to determine the best option that caters for the needs of the City and the desires of the community. The ability to align these within the same option is limited.
Children playing near Geographe Bay Road if road is shortened	The road's primary function is for the efficient servicing of properties. The secondary usage as an 'informal' playground has inherent risks associated with this activity, in addition, the Road Traffic Code 2000 - Reg 205 Games on Roads states: A person shall not play any game on any part of a road. The reduction of length is minimal therefore the 'increased' risk is considered minimal.
Accessibility to Toby's Inlet	The City does not provide formal access to Toby's Inlet from any of the streets within the Quindalup area. The City can provide a limestone pedestrian access track to minimise disruption to the vegetation.
Dumping ground for rubbish and the City's maintenance of the end reserve	The minimal reduction in the road length is unlikely to increase the opportunity for dumping. Community minded residents may place lawn clippings in this area under the impression that they are helping the environment through weed control.
Concerned we won't reinstate the poured limestone driveway Interrupting the verges of 4 landowners	All reinstatements will be undertaken to return the disturbed areas to as close to preconstruction condition. The works will require temporarily access/usage of the verge areas.
The "Bent's Peppies"	The City's preferred option does not require the removal of the Peppie trees fronting the Bent's residence. Ultimately the trees are within the road reserve and therefore the responsibility of the City.
Reducing parking at the end of the street	The provision of a cul-de-sac has the potential to increase the available parking however this should be discouraged due to the impacts on the servicing by reuse trucks etc.
Unfair - other streets not reduced in length	The length of the street in comparison to others is irrelevant, the ability to service the adjoining properties is the determining factor in respect to the street length.
Offered monetary contribution towards alternative 1	The purpose of the consultation was to determine the best option that caters for the needs of the City and the desires of the community. The ability to align these within the same option is limited. Where works beyond the need of the City are rigidly requested the City may consider a user pays approach to the additional works.
Original - worried about Peppies in road reserve	The City has previously stated that the trees will not be effected by the proposed works. This is further assured with the City's preferred option. However the City cannot guarantee the overall health and well being of any tree.
Alternative 3 does not allow for reversing of vehicles from no 5 or berry street and doesn't like the idea of having to move bins	The final design of option 3 would cater for safer egress from No. 5.
Alternative 4 has no positives	Comment acknowledged.
Original - thinks head lights will shine into living area scaring wife	The spill of lighting from the vehicles using the roadway cannot be avoided in any of the options including the residents 'preferred' option. This issues would be a pre-existing matter.
Original - worried about grandchildren and dog playing on road being 11m closer to Geographe bay road	The road's primary function is for the efficient servicing of properties. The secondary usage as an 'informal' playground has inherent risks associated with this activity, in addition, the Road Traffic Code 2000 - Reg 205 Games on Roads states: A person shall not play any game on any part of a road. The reduction of length is minimal therefore the 'increased' risk is considered minimal.
Original - worried about lack of parking for his visitors	The provision of a cul-de-sac has the potential to increase the available parking however this should be discouraged due to the impacts on the servicing by reuse trucks etc.

Original - rubbish dump increasing at end of street	The minimal reduction in the road length is unlikely to increase the opportunity for dumping. Community minded residents may place lawn clippings in this area under the impression that they are helping the environment through weed control.
Original - reduced access for fishing at end of Berry street	The City does not provide formal access to Toby's Inlet from any of the streets within the Quindalup area. The City can provide a limestone pedestrian access track to minimise disruption to the vegetation.
Original - concerned about the impact on property #3 and #4 Impact to Bent's Crossover	All reinstatements will be undertaken to return the disturbed areas to as close to preconstruction condition. All reinstatements will be undertaken to return the disturbed areas to as close to preconstruction condition.
Concerned about drainage affect on his property Why is street being shortened	The proposal to upgrade Berry Street will ensure no adverse drainage issues are caused with any option. The length of the street in comparison to others is irrelevant, the ability to service the adjoining properties is the determining factor in respect to the street length.
Claims majority supports turning circle at end	The purpose of the consultation was to determine the best option that caters for the needs of the City and the desires of the community. The ability to align these within the same option is limited. Majority of property owners do support turnign circle at end
Thinks that our permit says there is no affect on peppier trees	The City's preferred option does not require the removal of the Peppie tress fronting the Bent's residence. The City also holds a valid clearing permit for the trees under discussion.
Claims no technical reasons that affect this site	The construction of the cul-de-sac at the end of the road reserve beyond the are required to be serviced will result in a change in level between the road surface and that of the adjoining property. This level change would need to be appropriately managed.
Claims no valid reason to reject this location Doesn't believe having to pay is fair or reasonable Resigned to original plan	The 'valid reason' is simply that the road does not need to be extended this far to adequately service the adjoining properties. Where works beyond the need of the City are rigidly requested the City may consider a user pays approach to the additional works. The purpose of the consultation was to determine the best option that caters for the needs of the City and the desires of the community. The ability to align these within the same option is limited.
Do not support alternative 1 or 3 with 3 the worst	The purpose of the consultation was to determine the best option that caters for the needs of the City and the desires of the community. The ability to align these within the same option is limited.
Support alternative 2	The purpose of the consultation was to determine the best option that caters for the needs of the City and the desires of the community. The ability to align these within the same option is limited.
Preference for Alternative 1 no reasons given	The purpose of the consultation was to determine the best option that caters for the needs of the City and the desires of the community. The ability to align these within the same option is limited.
Complete rejection of Alternative 2	The purpose of the consultation was to determine the best option that caters for the needs of the City and the desires of the community. The ability to align these within the same option is limited.
Neighbours want Alternative 1 so they are choosing to support neighbours	The purpose of the consultation was to determine the best option that caters for the needs of the City and the desires of the community. The ability to align these within the same option is limited.
Happy with original	The purpose of the consultation was to determine the best option that caters for the needs of the City and the desires of the community. The ability to align these within the same option is limited.