

SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS
PROPOSAL: AMENDMENT NO. 2 to LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME NO. 21
SUBMISSIONS CLOSE: 19 January 2015
OFFICER: Louise Koroveshi

No	NAME & ADDRESS	Nature of Submission	Officer Comment	Officer Recommendation
1.	Glenbreck P/L 19 Colin Street West Perth WA 6005 (owners of Unit 7/90 Little Colin Street, Broadwater)	Objection to the proposal unless our unit can also be considered for unrestricted length of stay. Tourism zoning is a disincentive for investors.	Correspondence received from the strata companies managing Forte Cape View Apartments confirm that the owner and/or owners' representative of this unit attended Annual General and Extraordinary meetings during which the 22 units selected for inclusion in the proposed scheme amendment were discussed and nominated. At each of those meetings, the strata owners/representatives in attendance unanimously passed motions supporting the inclusion of the nominated units in the proposed scheme amendment and that the units nominated would equate to 25% of the total tourist accommodation units that could be permitted an unrestricted length of stay, consistent with the City's Local Tourism Planning Strategy. Inclusion of this additional unit would exceed the 25% allowance under the City's Local Tourism Planning Strategy and would be inconsistent with the prevailing local planning framework. Furthermore, this unit is located adjacent to the foreshore reserve which is an area of high tourism amenity. The Local	That the submission be dismissed and no change made to the amendment.

SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS
PROPOSAL: AMENDMENT NO. 2 to LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME NO. 21
SUBMISSIONS CLOSE: 19 January 2015
OFFICER: Louise Koroveshi

			<p>Tourism Planning Strategy sets out criteria against which to assess proposals to incorporate an unrestricted length of stay, including the requirement that such units be located generally away from areas within a tourism site offering the highest tourism amenity.</p> <p>If it had been the case that this particular unit formed part of the scheme amendment, officers would have assessed it against the planning framework and found it to be inconsistent with the Local Tourism Planning Strategy and WA Planning Commission policy.</p> <p>For the reasons set out above, modification of the amendment to include this additional unit would be contrary to the prevailing local planning framework and therefore the submission should be dismissed.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--